Templatetoaster Serial !!TOP!!



templatetoaster serial keyQ: What's the difference between open vs. self-destructing question close reasons? I'm not asking this as a general chatty question, but only because this is an issue with a specific reason that I'm struggling with myself. I just closed an off-topic question as self-destructing, but I realize now that there is another, much more specific close reason available (open question), that differs only by a placement of

the apostrophe. This led me to wonder: What's the difference between those two close reasons, and what factors affect their behavior? Is the choice between open vs. self-destructing question close reason completely arbitrary, or do there exist additional factors to consider? A: The self-destructing close reason is a highly contentious idea at the moment. Because it's so new, and because it's intended to be used as a mechanism for

cleaning up such questions, there are no established guidelines on when or how this will be used; I've seen it used twice now, in the last couple of weeks. For an SE blog post, see: As for the open question close reason, I don't think it's arbitrary. SE is not trying to introduce a new concept here - rather, they're trying to bring the existing close reasons into line with a new kind of question (namely, the "superdeleted question" that cannot be

undeleted). They don't want close reasons to be used in the customary way, but the whole point of having a new close reason is that the existing close reasons have a fuzzy and abused use case that they're now trying to address. If it's not clear to you why this is the case, perhaps it'll become more clear after reading about it in the blog post linked to above. For example, if it happens often enough that users are getting used to it and it's

developing a regular pattern, then it will be useful to have a clue about when it should be applied - i.e., when a question has become so commonly closed with this reason that it becomes very